

From: roger khanna <worthmatraversparishclerk@gmail.com>

Sent: 09 December 2020 11:52

To: Tudor, Sarah <sarah.tudor@planninginspectorate.gov.uk>

Subject: Re: DPI/G1250/20/9 Bournemouth and Swanage Ferry Fees CONSULTATION

Dear Ms Tudor

The WMPC was finally allowed to meet last night and resolved

To fully support the objections of Studland PC

To ask the Inspector to reject this application in principle following the failure to meet the reasons for rejection on the last Inspectors report

In addition this Company has collected over £30 Million since 2008 with not even a nominal book entry increase let alone satisfactory protected Reserve fund for a Ferry replacement.

It proposes to collect a further £40 +Million over the next period without any clear and satisfactory solution of how any of the net sums collected required for the Ferry Replacement are to be safely ring fenced when there are so many other calls notably from its hotel business for major infrastructure investment . .Should the management company require additional funds it can as shown in its books in the past directly access unprotected funds.

If the Company failed creditors under current arrangements would have first call on any legally unprotected Reserve Funds.

Regards

Roger Khanna

Clerk

WMPC